

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | · | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
| 10/534,347 | 05/09/2005 | Hansjorg Ander | RO4016US (#90568) | 4202 | |
| D. Peter Hochb | 7590 02/02/2007 erg Co | | EXAM | INER | |
| 1940 East 6th St. | | | BERNSHTEYN, MICHAEL | | |
| 6th Floor Cleveland, OH 44114 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 1713 | | |
| | | | | : | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 02/02/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--------------------|--------------|--|
| 10/534,347 | ANDER ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Michael Bernshteyn | 1713 | |

| | Michael Bernshteyn | 1713 | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | |
| a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | e of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN | | | | | | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | 706.07(f). | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | ctension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing d | of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Off | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | o avoid dismissal of th | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the data of filing a brief | F will not be entered b | | | | |
| (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further contains. | | | ecause | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | • • | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | tter form for appeal by materially re | educing or simplifying | the issues for | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | iected claims | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | jootoa olamio. | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324) | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | (| | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | —————— | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ill be entered and an e | explanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-13,15 and 16. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | • | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | · | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a . | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | | | • | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | iou. | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. | ut does NOT place the application | in condition for allowa | nce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | 2 - Wh | | | | | |
| | > W4 | Michael Dametra | _ | | | |
| S | DAVID W. WU UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700 | Michael Bernshtey Patent Examiner Art Unit 1713 | n | | | |
| | ・ヒロ・カミニア・ロディン・ドレル・オープ | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. The amendment raises new issues, and probably new subject matter, that would require further consideration and search.